

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE VTI-114.4B(US) 7180 Richard H. Selinfround 10/672,052 09/26/2003 EXAMINER 909 7590 05/02/2005 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP ANGEBRANNOT, MARTIN J P.O. BOX 10500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MCLEAN, VA 22102 1756

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/672,052	SELINFREUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1756
The MAILING DATE of this commu. Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re munication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
•	led on 1/20/05,2/18/05&11/5/04. 2b) This action is non-final. In for allowance except for formal matter tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restr Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	are withdrawn from consideration. iction and/or election requirement. he Examiner.	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir 11) The oath or declaration is objected	ng the correction is required if the drawing(to by the Examiner. Note the attached	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § y documents have been received. y documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have been sonal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	□	(PTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/05/04&2/18/05. 	(PTO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/672,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. The claims are now directed to copy protected optical recording media, therefore the rejections are somewhat moot. In those cases, no response is provided as the previous rejections of the compounds themselves are obviated by the amendments to the claims.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Phenothiazine5-ium tretraiodide hydrate" should read - - Phenothiazine-5-ium tetraiodide hydrate - - .(claim 4).

In claim 3, after "push-pull change" please insert - -, which provides the copy protection and prevents readout from the recording layer, - - to clearly indicate that the recited compounds are part of the copy protection as well as their exact function.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Selinfreund et al. '922

 Selinfreund et al. '922 describes the use of various dyes which are deposited on pit

 surfaces of optical recording media to provide copy protection to the optical recording media by

 acting a transient optical state change security material. [0127-0131]. Various phenothiazine

Art Unit: 1756

compounds are disclosed as useful for this [0083-0104]. It seems that in sections [0092-0094] that the dyes found in sections [0095-0096] were tested in this manner.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use one of the disclosed compounds, such as those disclosed in sections [0083-00104] in place of those specifically used in the formation of the transient optical state changing recording medium described in section [0092] with a reasonable expectation of forming a useful copy protected optical recording medium.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **either** Rollhaus et al. '772 **or** Smith et al. '484, in view of Strekowski et al., "A synthetic route to 3-dialkylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium salts ...", J. Heterocyclic Chem., Vol. 30(6) pp. 1693-1695 (1993).

Rollhaus et al. '772 teach the use of methylene blue (a phenothiazine) in the copy prevention of optical recording media. (see table 2 in column 8)

Smith et al. '484 teach the use of various phenothiazines including methylene blue and toluidine blue O in the copy prevention of optical recording media. (cols. 11 and 12)

Strekowski et al., "A synthetic route to 3-(dialkylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium salts ...", J. Heterocyclic Chem., Vol. 30(6) pp. 1693-1695 (1993) teaches the formation of phenothiazin-5-ium tetraiodide hydrate (page 1693/right column) and 3-(dimethylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium triiodide (page 1694/right column). This class of compounds is known to be good dyes. (page 1693/left column)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the optical recording media of either Rollhaus et al. '772 or Smith et al. '484 by using other, similar, phenothiazin-5-ium

compounds known to acts as dyes, such as phenothiazin-5-ium tetraiodide hydrate or 3-(dimethylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium triiodide disclosed by Strekowski et al., "A synthetic route to 3-(dialkylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium salts ...", J. Heterocyclic Chem., Vol. 30(6) pp. 1693-1695 (1993) with a reasonable expectation of forming a useful copy protected optical recording medium which protects optical recording media based upon the oxidation of the phenothiazines by oxygen in the air.

Page 4

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 2-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/418898 (US prepub 2004/0004922). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending application clearly embrace copy protected optical recording media using the claimed phenothiazines as these are fully encompassed by the claims of the co-pending application as evidenced by sections [0083-0104] of the copending application.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1756

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378.
The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

04/20/2005